

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003** 

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,783 07/11/2001		07/11/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	Shunpei Yamazaki 07977/281001/US5070/5076		
26171	7590	10/29/2003			EXAMINER	
FISH & RI			STULTZ, JESSICA T			
1425 K STR 11TH FLOC	•	<b>/</b> .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ron, dc	20005-3500	2873			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	10.	Applicant(s)				
		09/903,783	İ	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
		Jessica T Stul	tz	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)[<	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>September 15, 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-39</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003	-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
۵,2	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(			/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2873

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9 and 18-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakamura.

Regarding claims 1-2, Nakamura discloses a digital micromirror device (Column 3, lines 37-45, wherein the DMD is "10", Figures 1, 2, and 3A-C) comprising: a first electrode and a second electrode (Column 3, lines 46-54, wherein the first and second electrodes are "15 and 16", Figures 2 and 3A-C) for changing the inclination of the micromirror (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 3, wherein the micromirror "12" is tilted by electrodes "15 and 16", Figures 2 and 3A-C); a micromirror located over the first electrode and second electrode (Column 3, lines 37-54, wherein the micromirror is "12", Figures 1, 2, and 3A-C); a first switching element; a second switching element (Column 3, line 55, Column 4, line 3, wherein the switching elements are the transistors in memory cell "14a", Figures 3A-C); and a SRAM (Column 3, lines 41-57, wherein the SRAM is "14", Figures 2 and 3A-C); wherein an output of the first switching element is connected to the first electrode (Column 3, lines 57-62, wherein one transistor is connected to electrode "15", Figures 2 and 3A-C); an output of the second switching

Art Unit: 2873

element is connected to the second electrode (Column 3, lines 57-62, wherein one transistor is connected to electrode "16", Figures 2 and 3A-C); an input of the SRAM is connected to the first electrode; an output of the SRAM is connected to the second electrode (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, wherein the electrode "15" is charged with a positive voltage and "16" is changed with a negative voltage, Figures 2 and 3A-C); and the voltages of the input and output of the SRAM mutually differ (Column 3, line 33-Column 4, line 3, wherein the SRAM "14" causes a bias voltage to the electrodes and therefore the input/output voltages differ, Figures 2 and 3A-C).

Regarding claim 3-5, Nakamura discloses a digital micromirror device (Column 3, lines 37-45, wherein the DMD is "10", Figures 1, 2, and 3A-C) comprising: a micromirror (Column 3, lines 37-54, wherein the micromirror is "12", Figures 1, 2, and 3A-C); a first electrode and a second electrode (Column 3, lines 46-54, wherein the first and second electrodes are "15 and 16", Figures 2 and 3A-C) for changing the inclination of a micromirror (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 3, wherein the micromirror "12" is tilted by electrodes "15 and 16", Figures 2 and 3A-C); a first switching element for imparting a first voltage or a second voltage to the first electrode (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, wherein the charge on the electrode "15" varies between positive and negative depending on the mirror drive data, Figures 2 and 3A-C); a second switching element for imparting the first voltage of second voltage of the second electrode (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, wherein the charge on the electrode "16" varies between positive and negative depending on the mirror drive data, Figures 2 and 3A-C and Column 3, line 55, Column 4, line 3, wherein the switching elements are the transistors in memory cell "14a", Figures 3A-C); and a SRAM (Column 3, lines 41-57, wherein the

Art Unit: 2873

SRAM is "14", Figures 2 and 3A-C); wherein a second voltage is imparted to the second electrode by the SRAM when a first voltage is imparted to the first electrode by the first switching element (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, wherein the charge on the electrode "16" varies between positive and negative depending on the mirror drive data, Figures 2 and 3A-C); said first voltage is imparted to the second electrode by the SRAM when the second voltage is imparted to the first electrode by the first switching element (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, wherein the charge on the electrode "15" varies between positive and negative depending on the mirror drive data, Figures 2 and 3A-C); the first voltage is imparted to the first electrode by the SRAM when the second voltage is imparted to the second electrode by the second switching element (Column 3, lines 57-62, wherein the switching elements are the transistors connected to electrodes "15 and 16", Figures 2 and 3A-C); a switching of the first switching element is controlled by a first signal; and a switching of the second switching element is controlled by a signal (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, wherein the transistors are controlled by a signal from the mirror drive data from memory cell "14a").

Regarding claims 6-9, it is inherent from Nakamura that the digital micromirror device as disclosed above further include the SRAM comprising two p-channel transistors and two n-channel transistors, this being reasonably based upon there being "at least two transistors" in the memory cell "14a" and the transistors being disclosed as yielding positive and negative voltages and due to the similarity in structure between Nakamura and the claimed invention (Column 3, line 55-Column 4, line 27, Figures 2 and 3A-C).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claims 18-31, Nakamura discloses a digital micromirror device as disclosed above and further discloses this device by placed in an electronic device, specifically a printer (Column 4, lines 55-57, wherein the DMD "10" is shown in a digital color printer, Figure 4).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Maimon et al.

Regarding claims 10-17, Nakamura discloses a digital micromirror device as disclosed above in claims 2-5, wherein the SRAM comprises two p-channel and two n-channel transistors, but does not specifically disclose that the SRAM further comprise two resistors. Maimon et al teaches of a SRAM having four transistors and two resistors (Column 5, lines 7-32, wherein the SRAM is "70", the resistors are "84a and 84b" and the transistors are "74a, 74b, 76a, and 76b", Figure 9), wherein the resistors reduce the chances that radiation or other disturbances will cause perturbations (Column 5, lines 25-30). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the SRAM in the digital micromirror device of Nakamura to further include two resistors since Maimon et al teaches of a SRAM having four transistors and two resistors, wherein the resistors reduce the chances that radiation or other disturbances will cause perturbations.

Art Unit: 2873

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 15, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, the argument that the SRAM and the switching elements are separate elements is not a limitation of independent claims 1-5.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "a SRAM and separate switching elements") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32-39 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art alone or in combination disclose or teach of the claimed combination of limitations to warrant a rejection under 35 USC 102 or 103.

Specifically regarding independent claims 32-35, none of the prior art alone or in combination disclose or teach of a method of driving a digital micromirror device having a plurality of pixels specifically wherein a ratio of the lengths of the display periods "n" is expressed as  $2^0$ :  $2^1$ : ... : $2^{(n-1)}$ .

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2873

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica T Stultz whose telephone number is (703) 305-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-JADA STO

0956.

Jessica Stultz

PRIMARY EXAMINER